

# A NEW WIND-BLOWN DUST SOURCE IN SILAM: SIMPLE APPROACH WORKS BEST

Andreas Uppstu and Mikhail Sofiev

SILAM team



1





- SILAM had a complex dust emission model, but performance against AERONET observations was not particularly good
- The model was based on a multitude of parameters, and it was very difficult to tune them for better performance
- Emission assimilation of MODIS AOD was performed to get a basic idea about the correct dust emission



### **RESULT OF ASSIMILATION**

Emission by time

Jan 01





Average full year emission



10-12-2024



## **COMPARISON AGAINST AERONET**

All points





#### RMSE

	SILAM	SILAM	SDS-WAS
	old	test	median
Sahel/Sahara	0.39	0.34	0.31
Middle East	0.42	0.27	0.33
Mediterranean	0.20	0.15	0.15
All regions	0.35	0.29	0.28

#### **Correlation coefficient**

	SILAM old	SILAM test	SDS-WAS median
Sahel/Sahara	0.39	0.42	0.75
Middle East	0.13	0.56	0.52
Mediterranean	0.60	0.57	0.72
All regions	0.44	0.47	0.74

#### 10-12-2024



- Now we know that even with crude climatological emissions, we can beat some dedicated dust emission models
- Can we obtain a similar average emission using nonassimilated data?
  - Surface roughness from satellites
  - Ginoux shape function
- With a dynamic component, the performance would likely be still much better



#### RETRIEVED SURFACE ROUGHNESS FROM THE ADVANCED SCATTEROMETER (ASCAT) JULY 2022









# **MODIFIED GINOUX FUNCTION**



- Fraction of land within a 10 degree radius at a higher elevation than the current point
- Original Ginoux shape function leads to a map full of artefacts and misses the El Djouf depression





# **RESULTING EMISSION MAP**

#### deposit\_map / roughness<sup>0.5</sup>





# SILAM DUST EMISSION MODEL

- Dust emission ~ deposit\_map / roughness<sup>0.5</sup> max(U<sub>10m</sub> U<sub>0</sub>, U<sub>min</sub>)<sup>3</sup>
- V<sub>min</sub> = 1.4 m/s
- v<sub>0</sub> = 5 m/s + constant soil\_moisture
- Leaf area index and snow depth are used to turn off the emission
- deposit\_map / roughness<sup>0.5</sup> acts as a base emission map, that can in principle be tuned through assimilation
- The emission may be scaled with an alluvial deposit map
- No soil data (such as clay or sand fractions) are used
  - The impact of clay on the emission of dust is complex, as there are subcell scale correlations with the clay fraction and other features impacting the dust emission (such as vegetation cover and soil moisture)
  - Soil data maps seem to be of questionable quality in the major emission areas of dust
  - Clay can form a crust, strongly reducing dust emission



### **AVERAGE SURFACE CONCENTRATION**



10-12-2024



### **PERFORMANCE AGAINST AERONET (2017)**

Mean model: 0.158 Mean obs: 0.163

Median model: 0.121 Median obs: 0.122

Mean RMSE: 0.104 Median RMSE: 0.077

Mean corr: 0.642 Median corr: 0.685

Full corr: 0.80 Full RMSE: 0.126 Mean obs: 0.183 Mean model: 0.159



Corr AOD, model: glob\_dev\_AVBfires\_scaled\_sqrtdustv1\_sqdv1\_vert5\_lai075\_sm30, season: all, stations:all













## **SDS-WAS EVALUATION FOR 2022**

r					
	MULTI-MODEL	SILAM	CAMS-IFS		
Europe 🗸	0.72	0.68	0.65		
Mediterranean৵	0.76	0.70	0.66		
MiddleEast 🗸	0.70	0.62	0.62		
NAfrica 🗸	0.75	0.75	0.40		
Total	0.77	0.74	0.54		

RMSE				
	MULTI-MODEL	SILAM	CAMS-IFS	
Europe 🗸	0.15	0.18	0.16	
Mediterranean৵	0.17	0.22	0.18	
MiddleEast 🗸	0.26	0.32	0.32	
NAfrica 🗸	0.29	0.31	0.38	
Total	0.23	0.27	0.29	

# FURTHER DEVELOPMENT

- Replace the soil moisture with a simpler expression, hopefully more suitable for the wetness of a sandy surface
- Assimilation of the base emission map using MODIS AOD and/or IASI dust optical depth
- Still try to find a parametrization for the constant emission part?
- We can gradually move toward more complexity, if comparison against observations support it